

From: CLK Council Info
Sent: Friday, August 18, 2017 2:51 PM

Subject: Public Health, Safety & Welfare Speaker Registration/Testimony
Attachments: 20170818145101_Testimony_concerning_mandating_fire_sprinklers_in_pre.docx

Speaker Registration/Testimony

Name Cindi Flating
Phone 808-356-4117
Email robert.flating@hawaiiantel.net
Meeting Date 08-22-2017
Council/PH Committee PublicHealth
Agenda Item fire sprinklers
Your position on the matter Oppose
Representing Self
Organization
Do you wish to speak at the No hearing?

Written
Testimony

While there is no doubt the Marco Polo fire was devastating, there is more to this issue which cannot be solved by a knee-jerk reaction. The bill should include language that allows for grandfathering certain buildings, creating necessary exceptions. This would be based on the construction of the building, the inability of the retrofit to be done in a way that will not sacrifice the structural integrity of the building, the other measures already in place in the building (hoses, alarms, etc.), and the input of the homeowners involved. What kind of administration does not take into account the stake of the home owners in this kind of situation? For those who live in a single-family home, think about the same conditions being imposed on you....And does the City Council believe that this will not displace anyone or add to our homeless population? Think back to the years when lease rents were increasing so exponentially that people could not remain in their homes. This bill will force that same situation. The language of the bill needs to be given more thought; look at the bigger picture.

Testimony Attachment 20170818145101_Testimony_concerning_mandating_fire_sprinklers_in_pre.docx

2017 AUG 21 AM 10:15
C & C OF HONOLULU

Testimony concerning mandating fire sprinklers in pre-1975 high-rise condominiums:

While there is no doubt the Marco Polo fire was devastating, there is more to this issue which cannot be solved by a knee-jerk reaction.

The bill should include language that allows for grandfathering certain buildings, creating necessary exceptions. This would be based on the construction of the building, the inability of the retrofit to be done in a way that will not sacrifice the integrity of the building, the other measures already in place in the building (hoses, alarms, etc.), and the input of the homeowners involved. What kind of administration does not take into account the stake of the home owners in this kind of situation? For those that live in a single-family home, think about the same conditions being imposed on you.....

And does the City Council believe that this will not displace anyone or add to our homeless population? Think back to the years when lease rents were increasing so exponentially that people could not remain in their homes. This bill will force that same situation.

The language of the bill needs to be more thought out; look at the bigger picture.

Cindi Flating

Waipuna Resident for 24 years

808-356-4117